

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

134

**CRWP-4962-2022
Date of Decision: 23.05.2022**

PRIYANKA AND ANOTHERPETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the live-in-relationship of the petitioners, against any threats being meted to them by respondents No. 4 to 6, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 to 6.
2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-3.
3. Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-3, through a speaking order.
5. Petition is disposed of.
6. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

May 23, 2022
kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No