

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

258

CWP-11302-2022 (O&M).
Decided on: August 31, 2022.

PUSHKAR RAI GARG

Petitioner

VERSUS

DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, PANCHKULA AND ANOTHER
.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

PRESENT Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Bansal, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Kamal Chaudhri, Advocate, for respondent No.2 .

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of writ of certiorari for quashing the order bearing No.3638-51 dated 19.04.2022 (Annexure P-1) issued by respondent No.1 and notice dated 10.05.2022 (Annexure P-2) issued by respondent No.2.

Short reply filed by way of affidavit of Mr. Deepak Gupta, District and Sessions Judge, Panchkula – respondent No.1, is taken on record. The relevant extract of the reply reads thus:-

“4. That the matter was again examined keeping in view the provisions of Advocates Act and High Court Rules and Orders, whereafter it was decided by the deponent to withdraw the aforesaid impugned letter dated 19.04.2022 vide this office letter No.4969-82 dated 19.05.2022, keeping in view the larger interest of Advocates enrolled with Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana. The copy of the aforesaid letter dated 19.05.2022 is annexed herewith as Annexure R-1/1.”

5. *That it is respectfully submitted that in the wake of withdrawal of impugned letter dated 19.04.2022, no cause of action survives with the petitioner and as such the present petition is liable to be dismissed on this ground alone.”*

In view of the extract reproduced above, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

August 31, 2022.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No