

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.244

**Civil Writ Petition No.10573 of 2020
Date of Decision : April 20, 2022**

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Superintending Canal Officer, Ferozepur & ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 6.

Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate,
for respondent No.7.

Mr. Simardeep Singh Bedi, Advocate,
for respondents No.8 to 10.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition was filed as no inquiry was being conducted into a complaint filed by the petitioner. The inquiry has since been conducted and the inquiry report has been placed on record in the written statement filed on behalf of the respondents and thus, he may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to challenge the aforementioned inquiry report.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty subject to the principle of delay and laches.

April 20, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No