

**131 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4981-2022

Date of decision : 23.05.2022

Rano

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Munish Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

By means of this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India, petitioner seek a writ of mandamus by way of directions to official respondents for protection of her life and liberty, which is under threat at the hands of official respondent Nos.4 and 5, who are harassing and threatening the petitioner by forcibly trespassing into her house.

Learned counsel has argued that the petitioner is constructing her house at a plot owned by her, but private respondent Nos.4 and 5 are creating nuisance and are troubling the labour engaged at the site of construction. He has further argued that respondent Nos.4 and 5 came there and not only abused the labour, but gave beatings also and in this regard, the petitioner has sent a complaint dated 15.05.2022 (Annexure P-1) to SHO Kamal Ke, Dharamkot, District Moga followed by another representation dated 17.05.2022 (Annexure P-2) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga. He submits that no action has been taken upon the same, therefore, he has approached this Court for issuance of directions of writ in the nature of

mandamus directing the official respondents to provide security to her.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the facts, circumstance of the case as well as the nature of the relief claimed, this Court finds that respondent Nos.4 and 5 are allegedly interfering in the construction activity, but concededly the petitioner has not filed any suit for injunction.

Since the petitioner can avail the alternative remedy, therefore, this Court is not inclined to exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

23.05.2022

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No