

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202-A

CRM-M-23042-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.11.2022

Sahil Anand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Kanwar Pahul Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Ishita Jain, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

On instructions from ASI Daya Nand, counsel for the State submits that the inquiry officer did not find any involvement of the petitioner in the present matter, as such the present petition has become infructuous.

Given above, the petition is disposed of as having infructuous. It is clarified that in case, the investigator finds at any stage of investigation any material against the petitioner he will give seven days advance notice to the petitioner enabling him to avail legal remedies.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

09.11.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no