

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

138

CWP-11105-2022

Date of decision : 23.05.2022

RAM LUBHAYA MEHTA AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Ms. Anu Bala Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the question of law, which is being raised in the present petition, is covered by the judgment passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP-21636-2021, titled as “Bikramjeet Ahluwalia and others Vs. Union of India and others”, decided on 27.10.2021**, but still the benefit of the said judgment is not being extended to the petitioners and that too without any valid justification, which benefit should had been brought into operation by the respondents keeping in view the settled principle of law laid down by the Division Bench in its judgment passed in **CWP-4382-2002, titled as “Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana”, decided on 21.03.2002**, according to which, once a principle of law is settled, the same is to be made applicable upon every similarly situated employees and the employees should not be forced to approach the Court time and again to claim the same relief.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the

petitioners have raised the same grievance before respondent No.2 by serving legal notices (Annexures P-3 to P-6), which are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notices, by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Puneeta Sethi, Senior Panel counsel for Union of India, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the legal notices (Annexures P-3 to P-6) of the petitioners by respondent No.2 in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case and without going into merits of the claim as raised by the petitioners in the present case as well as in the legal notices (Annexures P-3 to P-6), this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to pass an appropriate speaking order on the legal notices (Annexures P-3 to P-6), filed by the petitioners, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

23.05.2022

rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes
No