

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.126**

**CWP No.11416 of 2022**

**Date of Decision: 25.05.2022**

Anup Vohra

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is entitled for the grant of family pension after the death of his wife, who was serving as a Punjabi Teacher in the Education Department, Haryana. Learned counsel submits that after the death of the wife in the year 2008, the petitioner was granted the benefit of family pension, which was abruptly stopped in the year 2017 on the ground that the petitioner got remarried and thereafter, the family pension was sanctioned to the daughter of the petitioner, which is also not being received by her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not entered into second marriage after the death of his wife, hence, stoppage of the family pension so as to grant the same in favour of the petitioner's daughter, is totally arbitrary and illegal.

Learned counsel submits that appropriate direction needs to be issued to respondents to grant the family pension to the petitioner along with arrears.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before respondent No.2 by way of filing a representation dated 31.01.2022 (Annexure P-10), which is still pending consideration with respondent No.2 and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation 31.01.2022 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 31.01.2022 (Annexure P-10), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 31.01.2022 (Annexure P-10) within a period of two months from the receipt of copy of this order.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

25.05.2022  
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No