

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40078-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 28.09.2022

Jasvir Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Dhirinder Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Madhur Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

On 29.09.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Pursuant to the order dated 22.08.2022, petitioner as well as respondent No.2 are present in person. Both of them have been identified by their respective counsel. The parties admit the fact of there being a compromise between them. However, it has been submitted that there is a multiple litigation between them i.e. :-

(i) the present petition,

(ii) the complaint out of which the present petition has arisen,

(iii) writ petition which has been filed by the accused party relating to the civil dispute.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the said writ is withdrawn thereafter the complaint filed by the complainant is required to be withdrawn as per the terms of the compromise.

The said writ petition is stated to be listed on 19.09.2022.

Adjourned to 26.09.2022.

The presence of the parties is exempted till further orders.”

2. Today, Mr. Dhirinder Chopra submits that in compliance of the terms of compromise, writ petition, i.e. CWP-10225-16 stands withdrawn.

3. Mr. Girdhar appearing for respondent No.2 submits that respondent No.2 shall perform his part of the compromise and shall withdraw the complaint on 30.09.2022 i.e. the next date before the trial Court.

4. In terms of the statement made by the counsel for the parties, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

28.09.2022

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable No