

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

225

CRM-M-24980-2021
Date of Decision: 09.08.2022

RAVINDER @ SARKAR Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been arraigned as an accused only under Section 29(b) of the Arms Act and apart from that, Section 216 IPC which is a bailable offence. He further submits that the petitioner has undergone 09 months of custody because he was granted interim bail because of the involvement of the aforesaid sections.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the factum of the statement made before this Court.

Given above, interim order dated 23.11.2021 is made absolute.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

09.08.2022
Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>