

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

142

CRM-M-24010-2022  
Decided on : 30.05.2022

Suluckshna Tandon and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

**PRESENT:** Mr. Jasmer Singh Rozera, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 223 dated 28.10.2019 under Sections 313, 323, 34, 406, 498-A and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Women Police Station, NIT Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners may be permitted to withdrawn the present petition with liberty to raise all the points that have been raised in the present petition and are available to them, in accordance with law, during the course of trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioners have prayed that both the petitioners are ladies and are residents of Uttar Pardesh whereas the present proceedings are pending in Faridabad (Haryana). It is further

submitted that the husband of respondent No. 2, who is the son of petitioner No. 1, is facing trial in the FIR and thus, the personal appearance of the petitioners be exempted. It is further submitted that the petitioner No. 1 is a 52 year old lady who is a housewife and the petitioner No. 2 is a 34 year old lady, sister-in-law of respondent No. 2, who has to take care of her own house.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

The personal appearance of the petitioners before the trial Court is exempted, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) Petitioners shall be represented through their counsel;*
- ii) shall not delay/stall the trial proceedings;*
- iii) shall not dispute their identity as accused;*
- iv) shall have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in their absence but in the presence of their counsel;*
- v) shall appear before the trial Court as and when required by the trial Court;*
- vi) any other condition which the learned trial Court may impose.*

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

30.05.2022

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No