

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208(2)

CRM-M-40857-2018
Date of Decision: 22.08.2022

Sandeep Kumar @ Rinku

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Surinder Mohan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Bhupinder Singh submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he has no more required for custodial interrogation.

Even otherwise the role of the petitioner was that he had introduced the purchaser to the seller and now he has been arraigned as an accused because of the fraud laid by the seller upon the intended purchaser.

Given above and considering his role, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 11.10.2021 is made absolute subject to condition as envisaged under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

22.08.2022

Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>