

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11390-2022 (O & M)
Date of Decision:-11.10.2022**

Om Parkash and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. R.S. Dadwal, Advocate for
Mr. Arun Luthra, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana assisted by
Mr. Saurabh Mago, AAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel points out that the land in the matter is in Rohtak and the representation has been made to the Administrator, Panchkula and even in the memo of parties the petitioners have made party to the Land Acquisition Collector, Gurgaon whereas the Land Acquisition Collector, Rohtak was to be made the party. He further submits that even in the representation apart from mentioning in the head-note, the entire representation is reflecting under Section 101 of the Land Acquisition Act.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one with better particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

October 11, 2022
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No