

105.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11005-2022

Date of Decision: 23.05.2022

SANSAR

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Aftab Singh Khara, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioner herein has approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to issue him an arms licence in terms of Heirloom Policy as per Rule 25 of the Arms Rules, 2016.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would contend that father of the petitioner herein had been issued an arms licence which is now sought to be transferred into the name of the petitioner. His father, namely, Balraj Singh had the arms licence No.407-III SDHR and 156-IV-SDT, for two weapons i.e. 12 bore NPB and revolver 455 bore PB, who has expired on 27.09.2021. It is submitted that despite having approached the authorities concerned for issuance of arms licence under the Family Heirloom Rules, 2016, the application has not been accepted and no speaking order has been passed thereon. The petitioner has even sent a legal representation through email dated 12.03.2022, to which, there has been no

response till date. He would submit that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the said legal representation is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and would submit that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representation of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal representation dated 12.03.2022 Annexure P-2 of the petitioner, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

23.05.2022

sanjeev

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No