

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**251**

**CRM-M-40726-2018  
Date of Decision: 13.09.2022**

M/s Chanan Ram Barkat Ram

....Petitioner

**VERSUS**

State of Haryana & ors.

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present:     None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

**ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)**

On the last date of hearing the petitioner was absent. Today also the petitioner remains unrepresented. Seeking arrest of the accused, the complainant has come up before this Court.

Learned State counsel submits that out of 7 accused, one has been arrested and the remaining were declared as proclaimed offenders and efforts are being made to catch them. He further submits that offence is under Section 174-A IPC, which is primarily between the Court, prosecution and the accused and not with the complainant.

Since the petitioner is absent, it appears that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the present case.

Given above, in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition is disposed of with liberty reserved to file fresh petition, in case need arises.

**13.09.2022**

**monika**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

**(Anoop Chitkara)  
Judge**

Yes/No  
Yes/No