

CRM-M-24818-2021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24818-2021 (O & M)
Date of decision:04.04.2022**

Monu @ Maninder

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate for
Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.888 dated 04.12.2017, registered at Police Station Hisar Civil Lines, District Hisar, under Sections 341, 364-A and 506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of no injury; that the allegation of abduction against the petitioner is totally false; that so far as the allegation qua the transfer of Rs.18,500/- in the account of the accused, are concerned, it has not been pin-pointed by the complainant as to in whose account, the amount was transferred.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the above-noted FIR was registered on 04.12.2017, but no notice was ever served upon the petitioner and was arrested only on 11.01.2021; that none of the police officials visited the house of the petitioner at any point of time and he was not aware of the registration of the FIR at any time, and that the

CRM-M-24818-2021

petitioner has never been declared a proclaimed offender. So far as five other cases registered against the petitioner, as mentioned in para No.4 of the order dated 26.05.2021 passed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar, are concerned, he stands released on bail therein.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that co-accused, namely, Sandeep @ Chetia and Sachin, who were arrested and challaned, stand acquitted of the charges, vide judgment dated 25.02.2021 passed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar, and that supplementary challan against the petitioner stands presented.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner alongwith co-accused had abducted the complainant, who was working in the office dealing in bitcoins, and pressurized him to transfer five bitcoins, and that the petitioner is also involved in some other cases.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 11.01.2021. It is a case of no injury. No recovery has been effected from the petitioner. Supplementary challan stands presented against him. The alleged amount of Rs.18,500/- was not stated to be transferred in the account of the petitioner. As stated above, the co-accused stand acquitted by the trial Court, vide judgment dated 25.02.2021. Out of 21 prosecution witness, only 01 witness has been examined so far. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the

CRM-M-24818-2021

merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

04.04.2022
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No