

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.141

**Civil Writ Petition No.11202 of 2022
Date of Decision : May 23, 2022**

Bijender and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Commissioner Rohtak Division, Rohtak and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sunny Kadiyan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the issuance of the sanad, the petitioners filed an appeal thereagainst. The appeal failed and so did the revision petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners were not properly served and thus, the partition conducted behind their back is illegal. He submits that this issue was raised before the learned Commissioner but he has failed to take the same into consideration. In support of his argument, he has referred to para - 4 of the grounds of revision.

A perusal of the aforementioned paragraph shows that the grievance was raised against non-issuance of notices in the appeal. This is not the same as the contention being raised now. Thus, the argument cannot be accepted.

No other ground has been raised or argued. The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

May 23, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No