

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

230

CRM-M-39527-2015

Date of Decision:30.09.2022

Amandeep Singh @ Amanjit Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Virat Rana, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.K. Raghuvanshi, Advocate for
Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the registration of FIR, the petitioner has come up before this Court seeking its quashing.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions, submits that the charges have been framed and even the trial is going on.

Since the judicial order was passed for framing of charges, as such, the present petition filed under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of FIR without challenging the framing of charges, is not maintainable.

Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a petition for challenging the framing of charges. It is made clear in case such petition is filed, the time for which the present petition was pending before this Court, shall not be counted while calculating the delay in challenging the framing of charges. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

30.09.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No