

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.24506 of 2021

Date of Decision:18.02.2022

Nirmaljeet Singh @ Nirmal Singh and Another

..... Petitioners

V/s.

State of Punjab and Another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASHPresent: Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.K. Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the complainant/ respondent No.2.

Sant Parkash, J.

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.29 dated 12.02.2017, (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 188, IPC at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 16.12.2020 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that due to some misunderstanding, the respondent No.2 got registered the above said FIR against the petitioners. Now, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, this Court vide orders dated 01.12.2021 had directed the parties to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the aforesaid orders, a report has

been received from Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran stating therein that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine.

Learned State counsel and learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2-complainant admit the factum of compromise and submit that they have no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.29 dated 12.02.2017, registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 188, IPC at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

February 18th, 2022

Sonia Puri

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

**Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**