

231

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5907-2012

Date of Decision: 17.11.2022

JAGGAR SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

GURPIAR SINGH AND OTHERS

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. R.M Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

A Co-Ordinate Bench of this Court on 08.12.2015 passed
the following order:

*“Service on respondents No. 3 and 5 could not
be effected through publication as the counsel for the
petitioner had not collected the notice in this regard.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner has
submitted that he will do the needful forthwith.*

*Let notice be issued to respondents No. 3 and 5
through publication for 14.3.2016.”*

The petitioner vide order dated 14.03.2016 was directed to
comply with last order and deposit publication charges within 2 week.

The petitioner was granted last opportunity vide order dated 23.09.2016.

The petitioner inspite of earlier orders was granted opportunities vide

order dated 10.08.2017 and 05.12.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he had already written a number of letters to the petitioner, however, they are not coming forward. It seems that matter has been settled and petitioner has lost his interest.

Accordingly, petition is dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.11.2022
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>