

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRWP-4819-2022
Date of Decision: 20.05.2022

Sahabddin Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

After hearing the parties, it would be appropriate that the detenue Shehruna is produced before the Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Nuh, who shall interact with the detenue and in case, the Magistrate so desires, he may record her fresh statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C.. It shall be for the Magistrate to take appropriate decision after interacting with the detenue.

The detenue shall inform the investigator about the time at which she wants to give her statement. The investigator to co-ordinate with the detenue in this regard. However, in case, the detenue does not intimate about the time then it shall not be obligatory to the investigator to get her statement recorded.

The present petition is disposed of. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

20.05.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No