

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP-4990-2022

Date of Decision:-01.12.2022

Satish Kumar.

.....Petitioners.

Vs.

State of Haryana & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- None for the Petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the police officials to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the private respondent nos.8 to 11 and to properly investigate the FIR No.155 dated 09.04.2022 under Sections 323, 365, 506 and 34 IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at P.S. Khedki Daula and FIR No.145 dated 09.04.2022 under Sections 147, 149, 323, 452, 506 IPC and Sections 25(1-B)(a) of Arms Act, 1959 registered at P.S. Farukhnagar.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner today.

Similar was the situation on the last date of hearing.

The Counsel for the State has referred to the reply dated 08.08.2022 with respect to the FIR No.155 dated 09.04.2022 to contend that

the complainant Satish Kumar got registered the said FIR and four accused namely Manoj @ Boxer, Suresh @ Makku, Sunil son of Mehar Singh and another Sunil already stand arrested. The challan stands filed and the Trial is proceeding against them.

With respect to FIR No.145 dated 09.04.202 he refers to the reply dated 30.11.2022 to submit that the complainant is Sardar Singh the father of petitioner Satish Kumar. Four accused namely Manoj @ Boxer, Sanjiv @ Sonu, Sumit and Ajit stand arrested. Suresh @ Makku and Sunil have not been arrested till date. The investigation in the present case is still pending.

With respect to the prayer for providing of security to the petitioner and his family members, he contends that if an application in that regard is made to the officials respondents, appropriate action shall be taken on the said application based on the threat perception to the petitioner and his family members.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

Disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

December 01, 2022

Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>