

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-22386-2022

Decided on : 27.07.2022

Ajay Kumar Giri

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

**PRESENT:** Mr. Arihant Goyal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)**

This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 488 dated 03.09.2020 registered under Sections 120-B, 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Camp Palwal, District Palwal.

On 23.05.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that in the present case, FIR has been registered on the complaint of Raj Rani and there is no allegation of cheating levelled against the present petitioner by the said Raj Rani inasmuch as there are no allegations therein to the effect that the petitioner had ever induced Raj Rani to sell her land. It is further submitted that neither the Bank, nor any person from the public, who is an account holder in that bank, has made any complaint against the petitioner and the petitioner is an Advocate who had given his legal report dated 14.04.2017 to the best of his ability.*

*Notice of motion for 27.07.2022.*

*In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 23.05.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 23.05.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

27.07.2022  
Mehak

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |