

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-21996 of 2022
Date of Decision:29.07.2022

Ramraji

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurminder Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S. K. Dagar, DAG, Haryana

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Vide this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail' under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., upon FIR no.88, dated 12.06.2018, having been registered at Police Station Bass, District Hisar, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 148, 149, 323, 302 of the IPC (with Sections 120-B and 216 of the IPC as also Section 25 of the Arms Act added later).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and was arrested upon a disclosure statement allegedly made by a co-accused in police custody, the allegation being that he alongwith his other co-accused (a total of 11 persons) attacked the deceased with iron rods, and due to the injuries inflicted upon him he thereafter died.

He submits that the petitioner in the aforesaid circumstances is in custody since 22.06.2018, with no prosecution witness examined so far.

Upon query to learned State counsel, who otherwise opposes the petitioner being admitted to bail; however as regards the period of custody and the facts as narrated above by the counsel for the petitioner, those could not be denied by him, and with it also not denied that no prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

In view of the above, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

July 29, 2022
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No