

(150) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.1069 of 2022
Date of Decision :25.05.2022

Barfina ...Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh DuggalRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Lokesh Vohra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (ORAL)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 13.07.2020 in CWP No.9666 of 2020.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.9666 of 2020 was preferred by the petitioner for issuance of appropriate directions to respondent No.3 therein to take lawful action against the respondents therein in the context of representation / complaint dated 19.06.2020 made by the petitioner and the same was disposed of by directing respondent No.3 therein to pass appropriate orders on the representation / complaint dated 19.06.2020 in accordance with law by taking note of all aspects of the matter without being influenced by any observation made by this Court within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant case. However, learned DAG has produced copy of speaking order dated 04.09.2020

rejecting the claim made in representation / complaint dated 19.06.2020.

[4] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that although notice has yet not been issued, yet learned DAG has handed over copy of order dated 04.09.2020 to him in the morning whereby representation / complaint dated 19.06.2020 has been rejected.

[5] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order dated 04.09.2020 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[6] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

25.05.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No