

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

260

**CRM-M-24226-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:15.09.2022**

M/s Ansal Housing Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 has opposed the present petition on the grounds that initially the compromise had taken place but the petitioner-Company did not comply with its terms, as such, he does not want to continue with compromise.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner-Company submits that after taking all the benefits of the compromise, now respondent No.2 with ulterior motives, is withdrawing from the same and it is legally impermissible.

Since the present petition is for quashing based on the compromise as such this plea cannot be taken in this matter.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a separate petition has also been filed by the petitioner for quashing of the FIR, therefore he seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

Prayer is accepted.

Petition is **disposed of as withdrawn** with liberty to the petitioner either to amend the existing petition filed for quashing of FIR on merits or to withdraw that petition and file a fresh by mentioning all these allegations and points. It is for the petitioner to opt for whichever way they choose. It is further clarified that dismissal and withdrawal of the present petition shall not come in the way in case the petitioner files a fresh petition for quashing of the FIR on merits.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

15.09.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No