

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

259

CRM-M-39063-2017

Date of Decision: 29.09.2022

Manjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Satyam Arora, Advocate for
Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the registration of FIR, the petitioner has come up before this Court seeking its quashing.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the investigation was completed, challan was filed and after that charges have also been framed and even the trial is going on. It is also submitted that out of 13 witnesses, 08 have already been examined.

Since the judicial order was passed for framing of charges, as such, the present petition filed under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of FIR without challenging the framing of charges, is not maintainable.

Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a petition for challenging the framing of charges. It is made clear in case such petition is filed, the time for which the present petition was pending before this Court, shall not be counted while calculating the delay in challenging the framing of charges. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

29.09.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No