

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-4874-2022

Date of Decision: 20.05.2022

Ramandeep Kaur & Anr.

.....Petitioners

V/s.

State of Punjab & Ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Kulbir Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG Punjab

Mr. AP Kaushal, Advocate for respondent No.4&5

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the respondents No.2&3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No.4 &5 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner No.1 is a girl and petitioner No.2 is a boy and married to each other on 17.05.2022 vide marriage certificate (P3). He submitted that the representation was given to SSP, SAS Nagar Mohali vide Annexure P5 but no action was taken. He submitted that since there is threat from the private respondents, prayer was made to protect the lives of the petitioners. He further submitted that this is the first marriage of petitioners.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG Punjab accepts notice for respondent No.1 to 3 and Mr. AP Kaushal, Advocate accepts notice for respondents No.4&5. They submitted that respondents 4 & 5 have never posed any threat to the petitioners and in future also they are not going to do so. He

however, submitted that respondent No.4 may be permitted to meet her daughter.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The prayer in the present petition is for grant of life and liberty to the petitioners who are married.

This Court is not making any observation regarding the validity of marriage or any other personal relationship of the parties. It is confined to the present petition only to the extent of protection of the petitioners.

In view of the aforesaid, present petition is disposed of and SSP SAS Nagar Mohali is directed to consider the petitioners' representation (P5) and take further action in case it is required and in accordance with law. So far as submission made by respondents No.4 for seeking liberty to meet her daughter is concerned, the same is not subject matter of the present petition and cannot be granted to respondent No.4.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

20.05.2022

V. Vishal

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes /No.</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>