

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(272)

CWP-10182-2020

Date of Decision : May 20, 2022

Sukhdev Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed with a prayer that the retiral benefits for which the petitioner is entitled for, have not been released to him as well as that the petitioner was forced to deposit three months' salary with the respondents so as to get voluntary retirement.

In the reply, the respondents have stated that the petitioner was voluntary retired on his own request and as the petitioner failed to submit three months notice for voluntary retirement, the petitioner was directed to comply with the conditions of the voluntary retirement according to which, three months' salary needs to be deposited by the employee concerned.

With regard to the grant of retiral benefits, it has been mentioned in the reply that all the benefits for which the petitioner is entitled, have already been extended to the petitioner.

No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to contest the said claim.

As the petitioner has already got the benefits, probably, it is presumed that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

May 20, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No