

**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24064-2021**

**Date of Decision: 01.08.2022**

**MUKESH KUMAR**

**... PETITIONER**

**VS.**

**U.T. CHANDIGARH**

**.. RESPONDENT**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present : Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepinder Brar, Addl. P.P. for  
U.T.Chandigarh.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)**

On 19.01.2022, the following order was passed:-

“By way of present petition, the petitioner is seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.23, dated 17.04.2021, under Sections 406, 498-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Women Sector-17, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite earnest efforts having been made to reconcile all his differences with the complainant and amicably settle the matrimonial dispute between them, it had failed to yield any positive result.

Learned counsel further submits that it is essentially due to temperamental differences and marital discord between the parties, the FIR in question came to be registered, wherein, false and fabricated allegations had been levelled by the complainant. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and co-operate with the investigating agency.

Adjourned to 07.07.2022.

Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation. Furthermore, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled in the Mediation Centre at District Court, Chandigarh.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Hawa Singh, has not assailed the aforesaid factual aspects and further contends that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 19.01.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

**01.08.2022**  
smriti

**(VIVEK PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No