

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

235

CRM-M-19402-2020
Date of Decision: 12.05.2022

Banso Bai

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State submits that a social dispute has been given a criminal colour and in fact on repeated requests to the complainant to handover the better particulars, she decided not to cooperate.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions be issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-1) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police Fazilka, to decide the representation (P-1) by passing a speaking and reasoned order within ***thirty working days*** from today, if not already decided.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, she shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

12.05.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No