

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24046-2021
Date of decision: 22.03.2022**

Subham @ Sonu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. H. S. Batth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel, at the very outset, submits that the statement of injured-witness Saurav Sharma has already been recorded along with two other prosecution witnesses and witness Saurav Sharma has supported the prosecution version.

As per report, submitted by the Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, serious efforts are being made to examine the remaining witnesses non-bailable warrants against them.

Learned State counsel also submits that the prosecution evidence will be concluded within a period of six months from today.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner limits his prayer and submits that keeping in view the custody of the petitioner, the trial Court may be directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably within a period of six months from today.

22.03.2022

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*