

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.21613 of 2022
Date of Decision:- 24.05.2022**

Aman

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sukhdev Singh Gopera,
Advocate for the petitioner.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Prayer is for grant for regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.153 dated 8.4.2022 registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the court, Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana.

As per the allegations appearing in the FIR, co-accused Sandeep was apprehended while he was sitting in examination while

impersonating himself as the present petitioner. Thereafter, the present petitioner was also arrested on 8.4.2022.

The counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not apprehended at the spot. The counsel further contends that the petitioner is student of Class-XII and his studies are going to effect, if he is kept in custody for any longer period. So prayer is made that concession of regular bail be given to the petitioner.

The present petition is opposed by the State counsel. However, at the same time, the State counsel has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is a young boy and is student of class-XII. The State counsel also submits that investigation in the present case has been completed and challan is going to be presented very shortly.

It is a matter of evidence as to whether co-accused Sandeep was apprehended while he appeared in examination by impersonating himself as a present petitioner. The petitioner is lodged in judicial custody. As has been discussed above, the petitioner is young boy and is studying in Class-XII. As per the State counsel the police has completed the investigation in the present case. However, it will take time for the trial to conclude, even if the challan is presented in the Court in near future.

In the light of above, this Court is of the view that no purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any longer period. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the

case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

24.05.2022

P. Chawla

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No