

226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22455-2022

Date of Decision: July 14, 2022

Hardeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Raghav Soni, Advocate for
Mr.Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rakeshinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. praying for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.86, dated 30.03.2018, under Sections 376/506 IPC, Section 6 of POCSO Act and Section 3(1)(xii) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

It is submitted that petitioner is behind bars since 10.09.2018. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that evidences from both the sides were concluded on 07.10.2021, however, despite that the trial Court has not pronounced the verdict.

Counsel for the State also affirms that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined.

In view of the above mentioned facts, the Court does not find it

an appropriate stage for consideration of the bail of the petitioner. However, keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner that the arguments are already concluded and the case is ripe for pronouncement, trial Court is requested to pronounce the same within a month from today, if not already done.

Disposed of.

July 14, 2022

meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?
- 2. Whether reportable ?

Yes/No
Yes/No