

COCp-1007-2022

Date of Decision :18.07.2022

Adnan Ali Khan
versus
Vikas Uppal...Petitioner
....RespondentCoram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the respondent states that no order dated 28.05.2020 or 02.06.2020, was passed by the competent authority as referred to in CWP-3865-2022 and that in the circumstances, if so advised, the petitioner may file a fresh writ petition on the same cause of action, in view of liberty granted vide order Annexure P/1 dated 28.02.2022, in CWP-3865-2022.

2. Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to file a fresh writ petition on the same cause of action in view of liberty granted vide order Annexure P/1 dated 28.02.2022, in CWP-3865-2022.

3. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.07.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No