

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CWP-10809-2022

Date of Decision :20.05.2022

Jagtar Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. G.P. Vashisht, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, junior employee of the petitioner namely, Ram Lubhaya was getting higher pay than the petitioner hence, the petitioner is also entitled for step up of his pay equivalent to that of the said junior Ram Lubhaya with all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of serving a legal/demand notice dated 05.03.2022 (Annexure P/6), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.3 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court,

accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the legal/demand notice dated 05.03.2022 (Annexure P/6) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in his representation, respondent No.3 is directed to decide the legal/demand notice dated 05.03.2022 (Annexure P/6) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

May 20, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No