

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-1041-2022

Date of Decision: 11.10.2022

Bhag Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Sarup Singh

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: Mr.Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J.

This revision has been filed challenging the judgment dated 22.2.2022 passed by the Sessions Judge, Patiala in the criminal appeal filed against the judgment dated 14.12.2018 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Nabha. The accused/petitioners were convicted in FIR No.24 dated 16.4.2016 under Sections 341, 323, 325, 34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Bhadson and were released on probation upon furnishing probation bonds of Rs.10,000/- each with one surety in the like amount for a period of one year vide the aforesaid judgment dated 14.12.2018 passed by the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Nabha and the criminal appeal filed against the said order was partly allowed by the Sessions Judge, Patiala and the sentences of the petitioners were modified to the extent that besides being on probation for a period of one year, the accused/petitioners were directed to pay Rs.75,000/- i.e. Rs.25,000/- each as compensation to the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners. *Inter alia*, submits that the amount of compensation awarded by the lower appellate court is on the higher side. The petitioners are poor persons and thus, he prays for reduction in the amount of compensation.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and perused the paper-book.

I am not impressed with the argument of the learned counsel for the petitioners. There is nothing on record to show that the petitioners are poor persons. The aforesaid amount of Rs.75,000/- has been ordered to be paid as compensation to the victim/complainant. The victim was aged about 65 years at the time of occurrence. He must have suffered a lot of mental and physical agony and must have spent sufficient amount on his treatment. In my considered view, the amount of compensation awarded by the lower appellate court is just and fair. In this view of the matter, I find no perversity and illegality in the impugned judgment dated 22.2.2022 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Patiala.

In view of the above, the present revision petition being devoid of any merit is hereby dismissed.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

11.10.2022
MFK

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No