

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.21628 of 2022
Date of Decision:- 29.11.2022**

Navjeet Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chaman Lal Pawan, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Prayer is for grant for anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.71 dated 23.12.2021 registered under Section 420 IPC, Police Station Jaurkian, District Mansa.

The counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case at the instance of complainant Jaswinder Singh. That no money was ever received by the petitioner as has been alleged in the FIR. That the petitioner never told the complainant that he was having links in the Government or that he was in position to provide government job to him. The counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that even

prior to registration of FIR the matter was compromised between the parties and to this effect Rajvinder Singh brother of complainant gave his affidavit, Annexure P-3, while co-complainant Harpreet Singh gave his affidavit, Annexure P-4. The counsel for the petitioner further submitted that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation with the police. So prayer is made that the present petition be allowed.

The present petition is resisted by the State counsel as well as counsel for the complainant. Both of whom have submitted that no compromise has been effected between the parties and that in order to return the amount received by him, the petitioner gave two cheques but the same were dishonored on their presentation. So the prayer is made that present petition be dismissed.

As per the allegations recorded in the FIR, the petitioner took huge money from the complainant and one Harpreet Singh on the pretext of providing them government job but thereafter the petitioner failed to return the money, as no job was provided by him to the complainant and Harpreet Singh. As has been stated by the counsel for the complainant the petitioner in order to return the said amount handed over two cheques to the complainant and Harpreet Singh but the same were also dishonored.

In the given circumstances, there are serious allegations appearing on record against the petitioner and for the proper

investigation of the case the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.

Consequently, the present petition is hereby dismissed being devoid of merits. However, observations made herein above are not to be construed as opinion on the merits of the case.

29.11.2022

P. Chawla

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No