

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-39286-2018

Date of Decision:-29.11.2022

Nirbhai Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- None for the Petitioner.

Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Rhythm Bajaj, Advocate for
Mr. Karanjeet Brar, Advocate for respondent nos.4 & 5.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in the petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for seeking the issuance of appropriate directions to the respondent nos.2 & 3 for taking legal action against the respondent nos.4 to 6 and to register a FIR under the relevant sections based on the complaint dated 25.06.2018.

None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 05.08.2022. Today an adjournment slip has been filed stating that the counsel for the petitioner is in personal difficulty.

I see no ground to adjourn the case since it is pending since September 2018.

The Counsel for the State has referred to the reply dated 14.08.2019 as per which no evidence has come on the file that the

signatures on the account opening form of Nirbhai Singh-petitioner are fake or forged. In fact the said Nirbhai Singh did not cooperate in the inquiry proceedings. He failed to produce his writing made in or about the year 2009. Therefore, no definite opinion could be furnished by the office of Forensic Science Laboratory, Mohali regarding the disputed signatures. As per the officials of Canara Bank, Sri Muktsar Sahib the bank account of Nirbhai Singh has been opened correctly. The Counsel for the State has also pointed out that the complaint of the petitioner has since been filed.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court. The petitioner Nirbhai Singh is at liberty to avail his alternative remedies in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

November 29, 2022
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>