

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

115

CWP-10646-2022

Date of decision : 18.05.2022

Satpal and others

....Petitioners

\V/s

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing at some length, counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition raising a challenge to clause 6(ii) of the transfer policy and restricts his right to first file a comprehensive representation before the competent authority for reconsideration of their transfer. The same is only on the ground that petitioners had not completed 5 years at the requisite station but had opted for another station and as such their posts were shown vacant and other employees have already opted for the same and thus, they have to be transferred on this count. Thus, their grouse is that they had not got the requisite stations of their choice.

In such circumstances, if a comprehensive representation is filed before respondent No.2 within a period of three days from today that they had not completed 5 years at the requisite station, an effort shall be made to adjust the petitioners to the closest place of their preferred stations.

Necessary exercise be completed within a period of three weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed off.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

May 18, 2022
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No2