

111
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4821-2022

Date of Decision: 19.05.2022

Manish Kumar and another

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Ms. Shweta Sharma, Advocate for
Ms. Navpreet Kaur Dhaliwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer seeking appropriate direction to respondents No.2 and 3 who are the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and their family members.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners were agents of one company namely PEARL which was engaged in the business of getting investments from various people and then repaying them with profits on majority. However, the said company was shut down in the year 2014 and the matter was also referred for adjudication to SEBI. The company went into liquidation and the Hon'ble Supreme Court had directed all the branches and offices to sell all the assets of the company and return the money to the customers and thereafter

even a Committee has also been constituted in this regard. She further submitted that some of the investors started protesting against the petitioners who were only agents long time ago and there is nothing with the agents to pay back to the aforesaid persons and, therefore, has submitted that the life and liberty of the petitioners may be protected.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

The petitioners are aggrieved from the action of the protestors who were allegedly demanding money from the petitioners who are the agents. The petitioners filed a representation to the SSP, Sangrur vide Annexure P-1 and a perusal of the same would show that the grievance of the petitioners was that the customers were protesting in front of the house of the petitioners for the purpose of repayment. It has been further stated in the representation that the petitioners are in danger at the hands of the aforesaid persons. The aforesaid representation is dated 26.10.2020. which is more than 1 ½ years and the only grievance of the petitioners was that the customers were protesting outside the house of the petitioners. However, there is nothing on the record to show that as to whether any attempt was made to harm the petitioners or that any harm has been caused to the petitioners, even when the representation was filed more than 1 ½ years ago. The further allegation of the petitioners that there is a danger at the hands of the aforesaid persons is also vague.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the view that the allegations levelled by the petitioners against the protestors are totally vague and evasive. Neither any date nor time is mentioned in the representation filed by the petitioners more than 1 ½ years

ago. Therefore, this Court does not deem it fit and proper to invoke its extra ordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Consequently, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

19.05.2022

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No