

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.126

CR No.2053 of 2022

Date of Decision: 31.05.2022

Rajinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant revision petition while submitting that the petitioners would be availing the appropriate alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to them under law, for seeking the redressal of their grievance, as canvassed in the present petition.

Resultantly, the revision petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

31.05.2022

neetu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No