

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(138)

CRM-M-21271-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 16.05.2022

Amit Goel ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate, Mr. Akshay Chadha, Advocate,
Ms. Arti Kaur, Advocate, Ms. Shruti Verma, Advocate,
Mr. Saurav Kanojia, Advocate and
Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate for the petitioner.

...
SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Matter has been taken up at 05:30 P.M. as it has been shown in the
urgent supplementary cause list.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking grant of pre-arrest bail/Transit Bail in
FIR No.08 dated 13.03.2021, registered for offences under Sections 307, 354,
354-B, 509, 323, 325, 506, 406 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police
Station Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata, Annexure P-1.

Learned senior counsel representing the petitioner submits that FIR,
Annexure P-1, has been registered on the complaint filed by wife of the
petitioner, which is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute. He submits that in
fact it is the second complaint and on the basis of the first complaint, FIR-
Annexure P-2, was registered in which the petitioner was granted anticipatory
bail by the High Court of Kolkata. He further submits that the Kolkata police,
after getting necessary permission, is coming to arrest the petitioner.

Notice of motion to respondent No.1 only, at this stage.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana accepts
notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. Upon telephonic instructions
received from SI, Pritam Singh, he submits that the petitioner has been taken
into the custody.

In view of above development, the petition has become infructuous
and is dismissed as such.

16.05.2022 (SUVIR SEHGAL)
Kamal JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No