

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

CRWP-5052-2022

Date of Decision: September 01, 2022

Jasbir Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Gursewak Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Jashandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the impugned order dated 08.05.2020 passed by learned Deputy Commissioner (Annexure P-1) vide which the prayer for parole was rejected on the ground of difference between permanent addresses of the petitioner during parole, the petitioner has come up before this Court.

A perusal of the impugned order reveals that reasons for rejection of parole was only difference of permanent addresses of the petitioner. Instead of rejecting the application for parole, it would be appropriate for the concerned authority to find out the correct address of the petitioner.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied in case he be permitted to file fresh application for parole before the concerned authority and the concerned authority is directed to be not influenced by the impugned order while deciding the same as it is arbitrary.

Given above, let the petitioner to file fresh application for parole explicitly mentioning the correct address in which he would stay during parole. If such an application is filed, the concerned authority to decide the same without giving any reference to the impugned order Annexure P-1. He/she shall pass fresh order on the other grounds.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

September 01, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No