

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5125-2022

Date of Decision:- 27.05.2022

MANISHA AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Salim Ahmed, Advocate for
Ms. Vandana Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners allege that both of them are major and are into livein-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7 who are related to petitioner No.1.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the aforesaid private respondents have threatened to cause harm to both the petitioners and in this regard, representation (Annexure P-4) was given on 16.5.2022 to respondent No.2 but till date no action has been taken by the concerned authority in this regard.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Haryana. She submits that the concerned authority will look into the matter and take decision on the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law.

In view of above, without going into merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider representation (Annexure P-4) and to provide appropriate protection to the petitioners if so warranted. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the relationship between the petitioners.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

27.05.2022
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No