

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.213

CRM-M-21545-2022

Date of decision: 27.07.2022

Yadwinder Singh @ Yadwinder Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. P.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0077 dated 05.04.2022 registered under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station Samana.

On May 19, 2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail in case FIR No. 0077 dated 05.04.2022, under Sections 406, 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Samana.

Petitioner is the husband of the complainant. The marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with the complainant on 18.10.2020. it is alleged that at the time of marriage various dowry articles were given to the petitioner and other co-accused. It is further alleged that the petitioner and co-accused are demanding dowry and harassing the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is ready and willing to resolve the dispute with the complainant.

Notice of motion for 27.07.2022.

On oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, complainant-Harman Rani w/o Yadwinder Singh @ Yadwinder Sharma (petitioner) resident of Village Balamgarh, Samana, Patiala, Punjab is impleaded as party-respondent No.2 to the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner to file amended memo of parties within one week. On his doing so, notice to respondent No.2 be issued for the date fixed.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. The petitioner is also directed to join the investigation and cooperate with the Investigating Agency, as and when required.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 19.05.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

July 27, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No