

COCp-997-2022

Date of Decision :07.12.2022

Lakhmiri Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

T.P. Singh and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 13.09.2018, in LPA-1825-2015.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that LPA-1825-2015, was disposed of by directing the Divisional Forest Officer (Territorial) or any other Prescribed Authority authorized by the State Government, to determine the questions formulated in the LPA, within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. Learned DAG, Haryana, refers to order Annexure R/6 dated 24.09.2019, deciding the questions framed by this Court in LPA-1825-2015, with the boundary wall constructed by respondent No.4 to be demolished by the concerned authorities of the State Government at the earliest.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to take out appropriate action in respect of Annexure R/6, in accordance

5. The same is not opposed to by the learned DAG, Haryana.
6. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

07.12.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No