

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21558-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.10.2022

Gurmeet Singh and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Raman Mohinder Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Ankush Rampal, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 6.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of DDR No.17 dated 3.5.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 506, 34 IPC in FIR No.172 dated 28.4.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506 IPC (Sections 201, 325 IPC added later on) at Police Station Gandhi Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar on the basis of compromise.

The above stated DDR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Varinder Kumar against the petitioners.

On notice of motion, respondents No.2 to 6 appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq

Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondents No.2 to 6 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and DDR No.17 dated 3.5.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 506, 34 IPC in FIR No.172 dated 28.4.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506 IPC (Sections 201, 325 IPC added later on) at Police Station Gandhi Nagar,

District Yamuna Nagar and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby
quashed qua the petitioner(s).

(**KARAMJIT SINGH**)
JUDGE

October 11, 2022

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No