

128

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-17023-2022 in/and
CRM-M-6740-2011 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.05.2022**

Jagta @ Jagat Ram and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. R.S. Chauhan, Advocate and
Ms. Deepika Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.

The petitioner has prayed for quashing of Complaint No.196 dated 18.08.2007 for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 467, 423, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code ('IPC' for short) and the summoning order dated 18.12.2010 as well as all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise effected between the parties.

This petition is pending since 2011 and the proceedings before the trial Court were stayed on 04.03.2011.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 Jagta @ Jagat Ram has died and proceedings qua him stands abated. It is

further submitted that a settlement dated 07.04.2022 (Annexure A-1) is arrived at between the parties, in which, after settling the civil dispute, in Clauses No.6 & 9, it is stated as under: -

“6. That earlier Bachittar Sing son of deceased Waryam Singh @ Waryam Chand also filed a criminal complaint before the Hon’ble Court of SDJM Anandpur Sahib, in the same complaint the Id. Lower Court also passed a summoning order against Jagat Singh @ Jagta etc. and a quashing petition has been filed by Jagta @ Jagat Ram etc. and same is also pending before the Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court titled as “Jagta and others Vs. State of Punjab and another” bearing No. CRM-M-6740-2011.

xxx

xxx

xxx

9. That the parties to the compromise shall file necessary applications for withdrawal of the cases, pending before the Hon’ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh or any other court, the judgment and decree passed earlier by the Hon’ble Civil Courts shall be treated to have been quashed/set aside in view of the compromise and the pending RSA will be allowed in terms of the compromise by binding the parties to adhere to the compromise. Accordingly, even the criminal proceedings will be withdrawn/quashed.”

Notice of the instant application to counsel opposite.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Ajaivir Sing, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the complainant and acknowledged that there is a valid compromise between the parties.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and they have even settled their civil dispute, present petition is allowed and Complaint No.196 dated 18.08.2007 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 423, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and the summoning order dated 18.12.2010 as well as all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are ordered to be quashed.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

11.05.2022
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No