

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

(201)

CRM-M-21319-2022

Date of decision: - 27.05.2022

Kuldeep @ Kuldeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is the first petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.215 dated 07.11.2021, under Sections 324, 323, 506 and 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC has been added later on), registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Patiala.

On 18.05.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner and as per the MLR, there are two injuries which have been suffered by the injured and both are attributed to the co-accused Amrit and even the weapon allegedly used for inflicting the said injuries has been recovered from the said Amrit. It is submitted that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.*

*Notice of motion for 27.05.2022.*

*Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on interim bail to the*

*satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. The petitioner will join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*

**18.05.2022**

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE”**

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the above-said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions HC Manpreet Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 18.05.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 18.05.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

**May 27, 2022**  
naresh.k

**( VIKAS BAHL )  
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes  
No