

Saroj Devi

...Petitioner

versus

Krishan Kumar and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. BhawneshLakhera, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana with
respondent No.3 in person.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 27.04.2021, in CWP-9014-2021.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 27.04.2021, reveals that CWP-9014-2021, was disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner for release of benefits for which the petitioner was entitled to in respect of the services rendered by her late husband namely Shri Chet Ram, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, after passing of the speaking order, it was found that the petitioner was entitled to the benefits claimed, to release the same to her within one month thereafter.

3. Learned DAG, Haryana, has produced copy of speaking order dated 22.03.2022 along with Annexures R/1 to R/5 i.e. copy of diary dispatch through registered post (Annexure R/1), copy of bill of DCRG (Annexure R/2), copy of bill of pension payment (Annexure R/3), copy of

acknowledgment of the petitioner receiving payment of DCRG and pension (Annexure R/4) and vernacular of acknowledgement of the petitioner receiving payment of DCRG and pension and copy of her passbook (Annexure R/5). The same are taken on record. Copy thereof handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof, states that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to move a representation to the authorities with regard to certain other benefits claimed by the petitioner on account of demise of her husband. The same is not opposed to by the learned DAG, Haryana.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

12.07.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No